



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,301	02/06/2004	Jeremy Irish	015.0405.US.CON	5416
22895 7590 06/26/2007 CASCADIA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 500 UNION STREET SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, WA 98101			EXAMINER	
			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		·	3663	
•	٠.			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/774,301 IRISH ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Ronnie Mancho 3663 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ronnie Mancho. (3)Elias Alvord. (2) Jeremy Irish. (4) Christa Wittman. Date of Interview: 13 June 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: none. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants and Applicants' reprentative were present for the interview. The parties explained the invention in view of the 112 rejections. During the interview, it was noted that the terms in the claims are not enabled because the specification is inconsistent in defining the terms used in the claims. As an example, applicants' specification page 8, line 1 defines a cartridge as a collection of events. Then in line 15, the applicant defines events as triggers which occur within a cartridge. As another example, the specification mentions that a cartridge stores data, but does show a memory device configured to store data. Applicants further indicate that a user of a device enters a zone of influence and a doorway is flashed (believable on the screen of the device). Then the user approaches the door. How does the user approach or open a door on a screen? These are some of the conflicting issues that are not properly addressed in the disclosure.